The first problem in understanding basic chronology in the Books of Kings and Chronicles is determining from Scripture the month used by a king and/or nation in beginning the regnal year. Most ancient nations used either Spring or Fall months (i.e. around April 1st or October 1st) as beginning the new year.

Although it is disputed, the Holy Scriptures, Sir Isaac Newton, Sir Robert Anderson, W.J. Beecher, K.F. Keil, E.W. Faulstich and the Jewish Mishna agree that the kings of Judah used Spring (the month of Nisan [Abib], e.g. Exo.9:31; 12:2; 13:4; Jer.36:22; 39:32; II Ch.29:3,17, cp. 30:1-5,13, 15; I Ch.35:1). Further, the Hebrew new years were regulated by observable natural phenomena controlled by the sun such as the stage of crop development and flowering of the almond tree, and not by merely numbering the lunar months and days.

JANUARY — (APRIL) NISAN — (OCTOBER) TISHRI — JANUARY — NISAN — TISHRI — JANUARY — NISAN

Thus when Daniel was Prime Minister and living in Persia, his writings reflected their Fall dating method whereas Jeremiah, who was living in Jerusalem, used the Spring method. Therefore, a king's first regnal year using one method could have been his second year by the other method. However, no error or contradiction actually existed.

The second major basic principle is understanding the method used in reckoning regal years. If a king reckoned his reign beginning with New Year's Day after his accession as the first official year of reign, the year in which he came to the throne was called his "accession" year; hence, this method is called "accession year dating" or "postdating". If the king called the year in which he ascended to the throne his first official year, he was using "non-accession year dating" or "pre" or "antedating". For example:

Accession Year Dating:	(Accession year)	(1st year)	(2nd year)
Non-accession Year Dating:	(1st year)	(2nd year)	(3rd year)

As king, each could chose which method he desired. Observe that in non-accession year dating, the last year of one king was the first official year of his successor. Hence that year was counted twice. Reigns so reckoned give one year more than the actual elapsed time. Conversely, Accession reckoning yields official years equal to actual.

Usually the kings of Judah used accession dating while those of Israel often chose the non-accession method. Judah used the non-accession method when Jehoshaphat's son, Jehoram, married Athaliah, the daughter of King Ahab of Israel and wicked Jezebel. When Athaliah's influence was broken, Judah returned to the accession method.

When these facts are understood, apparent discrepancies between Israel and Judah disappear. To illustrate, the accessions of Rehoboam in Judah and Jeroboam in Israel transpired in the same year. Further, Scripture declares the 18th year of Jehoshaphat of Judah as the year when Ahaziah of Israel died and Joram took his place (II Kng.3:1), therefore near identical periods for the two kingdoms are represented.

JUDAH	OFF. YRS.	ISRAEL	OFF. YRS.	ACT. YRS.
REHOBOAM	17	JEROBOAM	22	21
ABIJAM	3	NADAB	2	1
ASA	41	BAASHA	24	23
JEHOSAPHAT	18	ELAH	2	1
		OMRI	12	11
		AHAB	22	21
		AHAZIAH	2	1
TOTAL	79		86	79

Nevertheless, as the chart depicts, recognizing the accession year reckoning for Judah and non-accession year for Israel shows the data to harmonize. By merely subtracting one year from each of the reigns of the kings of Israel (due to the overlapping feature of the non-accession method), the paradox is resolved.

Thirdly - the length of time from Solomon's death (with the subsequent division of the kingdom) to the termination of the kingdom of Judah and its final destruction by Babylon c.586 BC was found to be 390 years. This was determined by adding the years of the reign of the kings of Judah from the fall of Babylon to the 6th year of Hezekiah, when Israel fell to Assyria. This span was 134 yrs. 6 mos. or "in the 135th year". The regnal data of the kings fits this time span perfectly without reference to any other kingdom thus demonstrating that it would be illogical to suddenly resort to using Israel as the chronological guide at the point where they begin to coexist.

The total span of the reigns of Judah's monarchs yields 394 yrs. 6 mos. The only scriptural co-regency of these kings is that of Jehoshaphat and Jehoram (II Kng.8:16). Some claim a co-rex (hence an overlap) for Jotham & Uzziah (II Ch.26:21). However, a careful examination of the wording discloses otherwise i.e.: Jotham "Begin to reign" versus "was over the kings house, governing the people". The marked contrast reveals that Jotham held only a pro-rex post at this time as II Ch.26:23 confirms.

From II Kng.3:1; 8:16; 8:25; and 9:29, the length of this overlapping co-rex was determined to be 4 yrs. (See chart). Subtracting the 4 year overlap from the total, leaves 390 yrs. 6 mos. Jehoram, Athaliah and their son Ahaziah all occupied the throne in 886 BC (See chart 5). Ahaziah claimed the last year of Jehoram as his first official year but Athaliah seems to have paid homage to "her men" by hallowing that year as the last of both her husband and son. Thus, although all three reigned in 886, only two claimed the year for themselves. Hence, the official years become one more than the actual years such that when this extraneous year is subtracted from 390 yrs. 6 mos, the result is 389 yrs. 6 mos. or "in the 390th year". This 390 year time span is confirmed by Ezk.4:4-5. It is the math key to the chronology of the kings of Judah and Israel.

A typical example as to how the accession year method appears on the chart is:

915 BC

ASA — AC — JEHOSAPHAT

32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

The offset indicates that Asa died during the 41st yr. of his reign. In that year (916 BC) Jehoshaphat ascended to the throne, calling it his "accession year" and credited that year to his father, Asa. He began his first official year (915 BC) after the first of the year (1 Nisan). Again note, that the official years and the actual linear years are the same in this method.


An example of the non-accession year method is:

919 BC

OMRI — 1 — AHAB

5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11

Here, the offset indicates that Omri died in 919 BC during the 12th yr. of his reign. Within that year, Ahab ascended to the throne, claiming that year as his first. Note that the official years now exceed the actual linear years by one as both men claimed the year 919 BC.



THE 390 YEARS OF THE KINGDOM OF JUDAH

THE TIME SPAN FROM DIVISION OF THE KINGDOM, TO THE TERMINATION OF THE KINGDOM OF JUDAH AT THE HAND OF NEBUCHADNEZZAR IN BC 586 WAS FIRST DETERMINED BY ADDING THE REIGNS OF JUDAH'S MONARCHS. THIS YIELDED 394 YEARS 6 MONTHS AND 10 DAYS. TO THIS MUST BE ADDED THE YEAR DESIGNATED AS "THE CAPTIVITY" (SEE CHART 5 OR 5C AT BC 597). THE TOTAL NOW STANDS AT 395 YEARS 6 MONTHS AND 10 DAYS.

2 KI.8:16 STATES: "AND IN THE FIFTH YEAR OF JORAM THE SON OF AHAB KING OF ISRAEL, JEHOSHAPHAT BEING THEN KING OF JUDAH, JEHORAM THE SON OF JEHOSHAPHAT KING OF JUDAH BEGAN TO REIGN". THUS, JEHORAM ASCENDED THE THRONE WHILE JEHOSHAPHAT WAS STILL REIGNING. 2 KI.3:1, 8:16, 8:25, & 9:29 SHOW THIS CO-REGENCY AS 4 YEARS (CHARTS 5 & 5C). SUBTRACTING THIS OVERLAP: 395 YRS. 6 MOS. 10 DAYS - 4 YRS. = 391 YRS. 6 MOS. 10 DAYS.

JEHORAM, ATHALIAH, & AHAZIAH ALL LAID CLAIM TO THE THRONE IN 886 BC (CHARTS 5 & 5C). THUS, THE OFFICIAL YEARS (3) BECOME 2 YEARS MORE THAN THE ACTUAL 1 YEAR SPAN. 391 YRS. 6 MOS. 10 DAYS - 2 YRS. YIELDS 389 YRS. 6 MOS. 10 DAYS, THE TRUE INTERVAL OF THE DISRUPTED MONARCHY, AND THIS PLACES US "IN THE 390TH YEAR"!

THIS 390 YEAR TIME SPAN IS FIRST CONFIRMED BY EZEKIEL 4:4-8 WHERE THE PROPHET WAS TOLD TO LIE ON HIS SIDE EACH DAY FOR 390 DAYS IN SOLEMN PROTESTATION AGAINST THE "INIQUITY" OF ISRAEL AS A SIGN UNTO THE PEOPLE SO THEY WOULD KNOW THAT THE FALL OF JERUSALEM WAS THE LORD'S WORK. EACH DAY REPRESENTED A YEAR ISRAEL HAD LIVED IN OPEN SIN AGAINST GOD UNTIL WHICH TIME HE WAS TO BRING JUDGMENT [OF COURSE, THE IMMEDIATE CONTEXT OF EZE 4:4-8 WAS THAT AFTER NEBUCHADNEZZAR ROUTED THE EGYPTIAN ARMY WHICH HAD COME TO AID ZEDEKIAH, HE WOULD RETURN AND RE-INITIATE THE SIEGE OF JERUSALEM 390 DAYS BEFORE THE CITY FELL (EQUALS THE MIDDLE OF THE THIRD MONTH OF ZEDEKIAH'S TENTH YEAR).]. THIS PROPHECY WAS GIVEN JUST BEFORE 586 BC (EZK.1:1-2, CP. 8:1, SEE CHART 5 & 5C). THIS IS FURTHER CONFIRMED BY EZEKIEL 35:5:

"BECAUSE THOU (EDOM, CP. PSA.137:7) HAST HAD A PERPETUAL HATRED, AND HAST SHED THE BLOOD OF THE CHILDREN OF ISRAEL BY THE FORCE OF THE SWORD IN THE TIME OF THEIR CALAMITY, IN THE TIME THAT THEIR INIQUITY HAD AN END:"

WHICH UNDENIABLY MARKS THE "END" OF ISRAEL'S "INIQUITY" (CP. EZK.4:4-5) AS BEING THE TIME OF HER "CALAMITY" NOW LET US COMPARE OBADIAH 10-13:

"FOR THY VIOLENCE (EDOM'S) AGAINST THY BROTHER JACOB SHAME SHALL COVER THEE, AND THOU SHALT BE CUT OFF FOR EVER. IN THE DAY THAT THOU STOODEST ON THE OTHER SIDE, IN THE DAY THAT THE STRANGERS CARRIED AWAY CAPTIVE HIS FORCES, AND FOREIGNERS ENTERED INTO HIS GATES, AND CAST LOTS UPON JERUSALEM, EVEN THOU WAST AS ONE OF THEM. BUT THOU SHOULDEST NOT HAVE LOOKED ON THE DAY OF THY BROTHER IN THE DAY THAT HE BECAME A STRANGER; NEITHER SHOULDEST THOU HAVE REJOICED OVER THE CHILDREN OF JUDAH IN THE DAY OF THEIR DESTRUCTION; NEITHER SHOULDEST THOU HAVE SPOKEN PROUDLY IN THE DAY OF DISTRESS. THOU SHOULDEST NOT HAVE ENTERED INTO THE GATE OF MY PEOPLE IN THE DAY OF THEIR CALAMITY; YEA, THOU SHOULDEST NOT HAVE LOOKED ON THEIR AFFLICTION IN THE DAY OF THEIR CALAMITY, NOR HAVE LAID HANDS ON THEIR SUBSTANCE IN THE DAY OF THEIR CALAMITY;"

NOTE THE PLAY ON THE WORD "CALAMITY" IN VS. 13 WITH THAT OF EZK.35:5. THE CONTEXT OF THESE VERSES DEMONSTRATES BEYOND ANY REASONABLE DOUBT THE CORRECTNESS OF OUR INTERPRETATION REGARDING THE 390 YEARS OF EZEKIEL 4:4-8. THEREFORE, THE FULFILLMENT AND END POINT (TERMINUS AD QUEM) OF THE PROPHECY WAS AT THE BC 586 "CALAMITY" WHEN JERUSALEM WAS CARRIED AWAY CAPTIVE, THUS "ENDING" ISRAEL'S "INIQUITY".

WITH THE TERMINUS AD QUEM THUS FIRMLY ESTABLISHED, WE NEED ONLY NUMBER BACK 390 YEARS INCLUSIVELY TO ESTABLISH THE PROPHECY'S BEGINNING POINT (TERMINUS A QUO). THIS PLACES US PRECISELY AT THE EVENT WHICH MARKED BOTH THE ISSUE OF THE CONTROVERSY THAT JEHOVAH HAD WITH ISRAEL AND THE OCCASION WHEN IT ORIGINATED. THE INIQUITY FOR WHICH ISRAEL WAS BEING CALLED INTO ACCOUNT WAS THAT OF IDOLATRY AND THE SPECIFIC CASE IN POINT BEGAN WHEN THE KINGDOM OF ISRAEL WAS FOUNDED UNDER JEROBOAM I THE SON OF NEBAT AT WHICH TIME HE SET UP THE GOLDEN CALVES AT DAN AND BETHEL (I KI.12:26-33; 13:33-34).

HAVING TWICE CONFIRMED OUR THESIS REGARDING THE MEANING OF THE 390 YEARS IN QUESTION, OUR INTERPRETATION THUS STANDS COMPLETELY JUSTIFIED. THE ABOVE FOREVER ESTABLISHES THE CHRONOLOGICAL SIGNIFICANCE OF EZEKIEL 4:4-8 AS WELL AS ITS APPLICATION REGARDING THE TIME SPAN OF THE DIVIDED KINGDOM.

Chart #5C-586 Tri

KINGS of the DIVIDED MONARCHY

975 - 586 BC
(The 390 years of Ezekiel 4:4-5)
to Alexander the Great c.331 BC

0 — 10
SCALE

©1993 FLOYD JONES, Ph.D., Th.D.
FLOYD JONES MINISTRIES, INC.